

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,366	12/10/2004	Takezou Hatanaka	043001	2757
	7590 07/10/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			TRAN, THAO T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
	•	·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,366	HATANAKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-15 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) cbjected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profesorous Retact Proving Review (PTO 048)		Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the Amendment filed on April 30, 2007.

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-15 are currently pending in this application. Claim 7 has been deleted. Claims 11-15 have been newly added. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended.
- 3. In view of the prior Office action, the objection and the 102 rejection of claim 7 have been withdrawn due to the cancelation of the claim. The 103 rejection of the claims as unpatentable over Fujii in view of Koike or Nishizawa is maintained as set forth below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (US Pat. 6,964,814) in view of Koike et al. (US Pat. 6,654,085) or Nishizawa et al. (US Pat. 6,797,383). The Fujii reference is used as an equivalent of the EP 1160591 or WO 013007 disclosed in the IDS filed on 12/10/2004.

Fujii discloses a polarizer protection film for use in liquid crystal displays (see col. 1, ln. 17-36). The film is laminated to a polarizer by an adhesive to form a polarizing plate (see col. 3, ln. 17-22; col. 5, ln. 33-45; col. 27, ln. 36-41).

The protection film of Fujii is made from a resin composition comprising a thermoplastic resin A having a substituted or non-substituted imide group in a side chain of the resin A, and a

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366

Art Unit: 1711

thermoplastic resin B having at least a substituted or non-substituted phenyl group and a nitrile group at a side chain of the resin B (see col. 5, ln. 49-55). The film is biaxially stretched (see col. 19, ln. 33) with a retardation value in both plane and thickness directions of less than 20 nm (see col. 19, ln. 48 to col. 20, ln. 10). The film can be subjected to surface treatment including corona discharge or UV or acid treatment (see col. 25, ln. 26-36). Peel at the interface between the polarization plate and the retardation film is not observed, which is less than 1% (see col. 36, ln. 55-56). Moreover, note that whether the polarization plate is square or circular, it peeling properties would be the same.

Fujii, however, differs from the presently claimed invention in that the reference does not teach the adhesive to be a polyurethane adhesive.

Koike discloses a polarization laminate in liquid crystal displays, the laminate comprising an adhesive that is composed of a urethane resin obtained by cross-link reaction of an isocyanate prepolymer and a polyol such as polyurethane polyol (see abstract; col. 4, ln. 13-22).

Nishizawa discloses a polarization laminate comprising a polyurethane adhesive obtained from reacting a polyurethane prepolymer with a curing agent. The polyurethane prepolymer is a reaction product of an isocyanate and polypropylene glycol; and the curing agent is a polyurethane polyol, a reaction product of an isocyanate and polypropylene glycol (see col. 5, ln. 21-57; col. 6, ln. 11-15). Thus, the polyurethane polyol is a polyether urethane polyol.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the polyurethane adhesive, as taught by Koike or Nishizawa, in the adhesive composition of Fujii, because urethane adhesives obtained from reacting a polyurethane polyol and an isocyanate have been known to have excellent durability, weatherability, and chemical resistance.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on April 30, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. In response to applicant's argument that Koike and Nishizawa are nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Koike and Nishizawa are used to illustrate that a polyurethane adhesive layer has been taught in the prior art to adhere a polarizer and an outermost layer. Thus, Koike and Nishizawa are to remedy Fujii.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/517,366 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thao T. Tran Primary Examiner

Than Iran

Art Unit 1711